Appl. No. 10/826,618 Reply to advisory action of April 11, 2006 Page 10

REMARKS

Claims 1-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 and/or 35 U.S.C. 103. Independent claims 1, 10, 19 and 20 have been amended. Entry and consideration of the amendments is respectfully requested. As discussed in the previous response, Kim (US 2002/0183637) fails to teach determining whether a template is valid in response to collected second selected events and updating the template using the collected second selected events in response to the template not being valid. Kim does not teach, suggest, or imply that the beats (second events) used to validate a template are also collected and used to update the template.

Applicant asserts that the present claims are in condition for allowance and notice of the same is earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain outstanding, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned to expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

 April 26, 2006
 /Michael C. Soldner/

 Date
 Michael C. Soldner

Reg. No. 41,455 (763) 514-4842 Customer No. 27581